

STRUCTURAL OPTIMIZATION

COMMUNICATION SKILLS II

PROF: ANTONIA LARESSE

MSC IN NUMERICAL METHODS IN ENGINEERING

AUTHOR: L. MILLET

MOTIVATION



OPTIMIZATION

Problem statement

Find \mathbf{x} to minimize $f(\mathbf{x})$ subject to $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathbf{0}$

f : objective function

\mathbf{x} : n -vector

\mathbf{g} : constraints, m -vector

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathbf{0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} g_1 \leq 0 \\ g_2 \leq 0 \\ \vdots \\ g_m \leq 0 \end{array} \right.$$

CONSTRAINTS

The constraints g can either satisfy:

- An equality \rightarrow Lagrange multipliers strategy

$$\text{minimize } f(x) \mid g(x) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \nabla f + \lambda^T \nabla g = 0, \quad g = 0.$$



- An inequality \rightarrow Karush Kuhn Tucker conditions

Theorem: (Kuhn–Tucker) At an optimal point of the problem minimize $f(x)$ subject to $g(x) \leq 0$, there exist Lagrange multipliers $\lambda \geq 0$ which satisfy $\nabla f + \lambda^T \nabla g = 0$ and $\lambda_i g_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

OPTIMIZATION

A simple example:

Parameter	Description	Value
E	Young's modulus	29,000 ksi
B	Half-distance between supports	100 in.
F _y	Yield stress of material	36 ksi
t	Wall thickness of tube	0.25 in.
P	Applied load	100 k



Item	Equation
Second moment of inertia (in. ⁴)	$I = \frac{\pi}{64} [(d+t)^4 - (d-t)^4]$ $= \frac{\pi t d}{8} (d^2 + t^2)$
Member force (k)	$F = \frac{P}{2} \frac{\sqrt{B^2 + H^2}}{H}$
Member stress (ksi)	$\sigma = \frac{F}{A}$
Buckling stress (ksi)	$\sigma_{\sigma} = \frac{\pi^2 E I}{L^2} \frac{1}{A}$

min $f(\mathbf{x})$ subject to $g(\mathbf{x})$



$$f = 2(d t \pi) \sqrt{H^2 + B^2}$$

$$g_1 = \frac{P}{2} \frac{\sqrt{H^2 + B^2}}{H} \frac{1}{d t \pi} - F_y \leq 0$$


$$g_2 = \frac{P}{2} \frac{\sqrt{H^2 + B^2}}{H} \frac{1}{d t \pi} - \frac{\pi^2 E (d^2 + t^2)}{8(H^2 + B^2)} \leq 0$$

OPTIMIZATION

A simple example:



Member Cross Section



LINEAR PROGRAMMING

- Simplex method

Find x to minimize $c^T x$ subject to $Ax = b, \quad x \geq 0$

- Partition of the matrix A

$$Ax = b \quad \Rightarrow \quad A_B x_B + A_N x_N = b \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_B = A_B^{-1}(b - A_N x_N)$$



$$\begin{aligned} c^T x &= c_B^T x_B + c_N^T x_N = c_B^T A_B^{-1}(b - A_N x_N) + c_N^T x_N \\ &= c_B^T A_B^{-1} b + (c_N^T - c_B^T A_B^{-1} A_N) x_N \end{aligned}$$

- The simplex method starts with some set $x_N = 0$ and the coefficient of each $(x_N)_i$ is then examined in $c^T x = 0$
- If there is any negative coefficient, that variable increases to reduce $f(x)$. The limit of increase is when some x_B is 0.
- By this process, there is one variable going into the basis and another one coming out

LINEAR PROGRAMMING

- Simplex method

- The simplex method begins at a starting vertex and moves along the edges of the polytope until it reaches the vertex of the optimal solution



LINEAR PROGRAMMING

- Interior point methods

- Moves along the interior points of the feasible domain

$$\text{minimize } c^T x \quad \text{subject to } Ax = b \text{ and } x \geq 0$$

- Looks for an incremental change dx such that

$$c^T x_{new} \leq c^T x_o \quad \text{and} \quad Ax_{new} = b$$

- It turns out that dx is taken to be $-Pc$,
with the projection $P = I - A^T(AA^T)^{-1}A$

- Then

$$c^T dx = -c^T Pc = -c^T P^2 c = -\|Pc\| \leq 0$$

STRUCTURAL DESIGN

- **Analysis tools, such as FE solvers**
- **Redesign**
- **Reanalyze**

Clearly, the response of an elastic structure depends on its stiffness

LINEAR SYSTEMS VS OPTIMIZATION

It is frequently possible to replace a linear system by an optimization problem:

Given a set of linear equations

$$Ax = b$$

being the matrix A positive definite, an equivalent optimization problem is

$$\text{minimize } \Phi(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T A x - x^T b$$

SEQUENTIAL LINEAR PROGRAMMING

Linear programming, together with the incremental equations of structures, provides a robust format form which to solve problems of structural optimization

Typically, a structural optimization problem is stated as find K , the matrix of stiffnesses, to satisfy the equations of structures, together with some displacement or stress constraints and minimize the structural volume or cost

The incremental version of this problem starts with some given solution and looks for a dK that satisfies certain constraints(*)

→ Sequential linear programming problem

(*) Examples of possible constraints: Move limits, scaling, regions of trust displacement constraints, stress constraints,...

OPTIMIZATION REVIEW

Nonlinear programming roots: Seminal paper by Lucien Schmidt, 1960's

1970's: Difficulties even for small optimization problems

1990's: Discussions of mathematical programming methods for solving large systems.

Actually, we can solve a nonlinear programming problem with thousands of millions of rows and columns.

COMPLEX OPTIMIZATION

Multiple loading conditions

Primal Problem

$$\text{minimize } \phi = \frac{\sigma}{E} \sum_i L_i \max \{|F_i^1|, |F_i^2|\}$$

$$\text{subject to } N^T F^1 = P^1 \text{ and } N^T F^2 = P^2$$

Dual Problem

$$\text{maximize } \psi = (P^1)^T \delta^1 + (P^2)^T \delta^2$$

$$\text{subject to } |N\delta^1| + |N\delta^2| \leq \frac{\sigma L}{E}$$

COMPLEX OPTIMIZATION

Multicriterion optimization

$$\text{minimize } f(x) = [f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_{n_1}(x)] \quad \text{subject to } g(x) \leq 0$$

- **A priori approach:** The different targets are combined into a single one, turning the multi-objective problem into a single-objective one.
- **Progressive approach:** decision making and optimization are intertwined.
- **A posteriori approach:** a set of optimal candidate solutions (Pareto set) is obtained through the optimization process. After that, the most convenient solution is chosen.

COMPLEX OPTIMIZATION

Incremental equations when shape change is allowed

	Equations of structures	Incremental form
Equilibrium equations	$N^T F = P$	$N^T dF + dN^T F = 0$
Constitutive equations	$F = K \Delta$	$dF = dK \Delta + K d\Delta$
Node/member displacement	$\Delta = N \delta$	$d\Delta = N d\delta + dN \delta$

The shape optimization problem, after some algebra, turns out to be:

find dF_i and dR_i to

$$\text{minimize } \varphi = \sum \left[(\text{sgn } F_i) L_i dF_i + (N^T | F |)_i dR_i \right]$$


$$\text{subject to } K_G dR + N^T dF = 0$$

COMPLEX OPTIMIZATION

Incremental equations when shape change is allowed


	Equations of structures	Incremental form
Equilibrium equations	$N^T F = P$	$N^T dF + dN^T F = 0$
Constitutive equations	$F = K \Delta$	$dF = dK \Delta + K d\Delta$
Node/member displacement	$\Delta = N \delta$	$d\Delta = N d\delta + dN \delta$

The shape optimization problem, after some algebra, turns out to be:




COMPLEX OPTIMIZATION

Generating new designs automatically




...an active area of research

AUTOMATED SHAPE OPTIMIZATION







GENETIC ALGORITHMS

- Heuristic algorithms
- They model the natural selection process of biology
 - Crossover: two or more previous candidates are compared and parts are taken from each
 - Mutation: there is the potential for change within an individual design




GENETIC ALGORITHMS

Evolution of the optimals while varying the objective function



GENETIC ALGORITHMS

Crossover and mutation given an initial set of shapes



CONCLUSIONS


We can think about mixing sequential linear programming together with the incremental equations of structures

→ a general structural optimization solver

- Parametric description of the geometry, and the restrictions (control points, splines, ...?)
- Finite Elements solver
- Constraints for the shape evolution
- Stress and strains constraints

→ Solve the optimization problem

CONCLUSIONS



Topological optimization

Topographical optimization

Size and Shape optimization

SmartDO⁺
Smart Computing. Smart Design Optimization

 Altair[®]
HyperWorks[®]

REFERENCES

- ***Harmony Search Algorithms for Structural Design Optimization.*** Zong Woo Geem. Springer. 2009
- ***Optimization: Structure and Applications.*** Charles Pearce, Emma Hunt. Springer. 2005
- ***Hybrid Modeling and Optimization of Manufacturing: Combining Artificial Intelligence and Finite Element Method.*** Ramón Quiza, Omar López-Armas, J. Paulo Davim. Springer. 2012.
- ***Practical Genetic Algorithms.*** Randy L.Haupt, Sue Ellen Haupt. Wiley-Interscience. 2004
- ***Paper: Structural Optimization Using a Novel Genetic Algorithm for Rapid Convergence.*** M. A. Al-Shihri, Civil Engineering Department, Umm Al-Qura University, Saudi Arabia. 2010